

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONTRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 884.496US1 09/897,793 06/29/2001 Ioan Sauciuc **EXAMINER** 06/29/2004 21186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. PATEL, NIHIR B P.O. BOX 2938 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 3743

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-1 V V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/897,793	SAUCIUC ET AL.	Not
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>L</u>
	Nihir Patel	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 1. 3-8, and 12-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9.10.15.17.21 and 23-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. ed.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	э. ЭГ.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a. □		52)

Application/Control Number: 09/897,793

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on March 25th, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant disagrees with the examiner statement "it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to include control connected to the heater to maintain the coolant at an optimum temperature for evaporation by the evaporator, since it has been held that discovering an optimum valve of a result effective involves only routine skill in the art". Upon further reviewing the applicant's specifications (page 6 lines 26-28) the examiner noticed that in the specification the applicant states "the optimum temperature depends on such factors as the type of evaporator; the type of coolant; and the pressure of the liquid coolant as it enters the evaporator". Since the applicant has not established any criticality, it is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that discovering an optimum temperature/ value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

In conclusion, claims 9, 10, 15, 17, 21, and 23 through 30 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as stated in the previous office action dating January 21st, 2004. Claims 1, 3 through 8, and 12 through 14 are allowed as stated in the previous office action dating January 21st, 2004.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3743

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 4:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP June 28th, 2004

Herry Bennett

Group 3700